

Research Paper: Investigating the Range of Motion and Balance Symmetry Between the Dominant and Non-dominant Arms in the Classic Female Wrestlers

Parisa Sayyadi^{1*}, Rahman Sheikhhoseini²

1. Department of Health and Sports Medicine, Faculty of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran.

2. Department of Corrective Exercise & Sports Injury, Faculty of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, Allameh Tabataba'i University, Tehran, Iran.



Citation: Sayyadi P, Sheikhhoseini R. Investigating the Range of Motion and Balance Symmetry Between the Dominant and Non-dominant Arms in the Classic Female Wrestlers Journal of Modern Rehabilitation. 2020; 14(2):81-88. <http://dx.doi.org/10.18502/jmr.v14i2.7705>

doi <http://dx.doi.org/10.18502/jmr.v14i2.7705>



Article info:

Received: 15 Dec 2019

Accepted: 05 Mar 2020

Available Online: 01 Apr 2020

Keywords:

Athletes, Postural balance, Arm, Range of motion, Wrestling

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The purpose of this study was to investigate the Range of Motion (ROM) and balance symmetry between dominant and non-dominant arms in classic female wrestlers.

Materials and Methods: In this cross-sectional study, 13 members of the Iranian Women's National Classic Wrestling Team participated voluntarily. The shoulder ROM was measured by a goniometer and dynamic balance was assessed by the Y-balance test. Data analysis was done by running a paired t-test, with a 0.95 confidence level ($\alpha < 0.05$).

Results: There was no significant difference between dominant and non-dominant upper extremities in flexion ($P=0.162$), extension ($P=0.264$), abduction ($P=0.077$), internal rotation ($P=0.972$), and external rotation ($P=0.945$). A significant difference was found in the Y-balance test in medial ($P=0.026$) and inferior-lateral directions ($P=0.047$), but no significant difference in superior-lateral direction ($P=0.715$) and composite score ($P=0.071$).

Conclusion: Based on the results, it seems that the balance in the dominant arm is better than that in non-dominant arm in the athletes so the non-dominant arm may be at more risk for injury development. We, therefore, recommend that the coaches and trainers pay particular attention to these findings in designing the injury prevention programs.

* Corresponding Author:

Parisa Sayyadi, PhD.

Address: Department of Health and Sports Medicine, Faculty of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran.

Tel: +98 (911) 3732536

E-mail: parisasayyadi@gmail.com

1. Introduction

Wrestling is one of the first ancient Olympic games and one of the most popular sports in the modern Olympics that requires high physical fitness [1]. Agility, flexibility, aerobic and anaerobic capacity, and the strength of upper and lower limbs are the most important factors to achieve the desired results in wrestling competitions [2]. Therefore, wrestlers try to have a high ability in these areas [3].

Injury is an integral part of physical activities and wrestling is no exception and different parts of the body are injured in this sport [4]. Since the high prevalence of wrestling injuries has been reported, injury prevention is the most crucial objective for training and match sessions [5]. A high prevalence of shoulder and ankle sprain injuries in wrestling has been reported due to their frequent use in wrestling techniques [6]. Since most wrestling techniques are involved with shoulder and trunk, it is quite evident that the glenohumeral joint has a key role in this sport [7].

Sports injuries can be divided into two main types: contact and noncontact. The reason for injuries in many athletes may be a lack of physical fitness, a faulty movement pattern, overuse or impairment of normal alignment of limbs [8]. The wrestling also has a lot of contact and non-contact injuries. Non-contact injuries can be prevented by adopting appropriate and effective precautionary measures [8]. Limbs asymmetries are common causes of these types of injuries [3].

Symmetry means equilibrium and harmony, as opposed to deviation, which is called asymmetry. The human body appears to be symmetrical [3]. It is estimated that in 96% of individuals, one limb is dominant [9], and the movements of the dominant limb are generally faster and more accurate than those of the non-dominant one [10]. Racket sports that use asymmetric techniques may result in an asymmetric distribution of muscle forces and imbalance. Besides, these movements may cause poor body posture and irregularities in the skeletal structure [11] resulting in the uncontrolled movement [12]. These consequences may impose a greater load on the joints and body structures and eventually lead to sports injuries [13].

Balance is essential for maintaining position in space and performing coordinated and controlled movements [14, 15]. Having a good balance plays an important role

in the activities of the sports fields. Besides, imbalance increases the risk of falling, resulting in sports injuries [16, 17]. Studies have shown that balance improvement plays a key role in reducing the occurrence of sports injuries, and balance tests are considered as a good predictor of sports injury likelihood. Many takedown techniques of the wrestling require balance in the shoulder and poor balance of the shoulder may predispose their structures to further injuries [18].

Another component of physical fitness is flexibility, which refers to the range of joint motion and helps prevent injury by assisting in movement smoothness [19]. Previous studies have shown a relationship between the range of motion (ROM) and limb function, especially shoulder adduction, flexion, and rotation, which play an important role in upper limb function [20]. Since wrestling is a contact sport, the necessity of controlling the body in different situations exerts a great deal of stress on the joints. Therefore, flexibility plays a key role in preventing injury and improving performance in this field [21].

Various studies have investigated upper limb asymmetries in different fields. In one study, asymmetries in 16 active tennis players were investigated and a significant difference was observed in dominant and non-dominant arm muscles mass [22]. Another study was found asymmetry between the upper and lower limbs in volleyball players [23]. But, Butler et al. did not show a significant difference in the balanced symmetry between throwing and non-throwing arms of softball and baseball players [24]. Symmetry in upper extremities was also examined in overhead sports [25].

However, no study has been directly conducted on the classic female wrestling athletes. Thus, the purpose of this study was to examine the symmetry of flexibility and balance between the dominant and non-dominant arms in the classic female wrestling athletes of the Iran national team.

2. Materials and Methods

Study participants

A comparative cross-sectional study was conducted on the classic female wrestling athletes of the Iran national team. After explaining the general study objectives and methods, 13 athletes were volunteered to participate in our study.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The study inclusion criteria were as follows: membership in the classic adult female wrestling national team, and no history of injury, such as severe upper limb injury, vestibular system, visual impairment, and head injury during the last two months that currently limit exercise and competition.

Study procedure

Before starting the study, the goals of the research were explained. The participants were assured that their information would be confidential and they could leave the study any time. They were asked to attend at the specified time to measure body height and test for balance and ROM. Participants were asked to abstain from eating and exercising 2 hours before the test. All tests were performed by the researcher.

Upper quarter balance assessments

Upper quarter Y-balance test (UQ-YBT) is a functional screening tool for assessing mobility and stability of upper limb stability in the closed kinetic chain [26]. For this test, a plate with three rods connected to medial, inferolateral, and superolateral directions was used [27]. In a previous study, high reliability has been reported for this test [24]. The dominant arm was determined using the information which arm the participant most likely tend to throw the ball [28].

To start the test, the participants were placed in the plank position with three contact points, including one hand and two legs with shoulder-width apart. All participants performed the test without shoes. They performed the test in the medial reach, inferolateral reach, and superolateral reach, respectively [29]. In this test, the balance was measured for the supporting arm. After 5 minutes of light warm-up, all participants performed the test once as a familiarization trial and three times as a test of balance [24]. There was a 120-s break between each attempt [30]. The farthest point that the participant could touch was recorded in centimeter as the test score.

The data were normalized for each direction. To normalize the data, the maximum reach distance in each direction was calculated and divided by the length of the limb and finally multiplied by 100. To obtain the composite score, the average of the three normalized attempts was measured, too. To measure the length of the upper limb, each participant was asked to stand upright and perform a 90-degree shoulder abduction on the fron-

tal plane, in which case the distance of C7 vertebrae to the middle finger was measured [24]. The participants were asked to observe the following things while performing the test: the support arm and feet stay in contact with the ground, the test arm should not be put on the floor until the test is completed, and the box should not be pushed roughly [29].

Range of motion assessments

A universal goniometer with high validity and reliability was used to examine the ROM of the shoulder in degree [31]. To assess shoulder flexion ROM, each participant was asked to sit on a chair with the upper back straight and raise his or her hand as far as possible. The goniometer fulcrum was positioned on the inferolateral portion of the acromion and the stable arm parallel to the trunk and the movable arm parallel to the longitudinal axis of the humerus [31]. To measure shoulder abduction ROM, the participants were in the same position and asked to raise their arms on the coronal plane as far as possible with the thumb above.

The goniometer fulcrum was positioned in the mid-posterior part of the glenohumeral joint and the stable arm parallel to the trunk and the movable arm parallel to the longitudinal axis of the humerus [31]. To measure shoulder medial and lateral rotation ROM, the participants were asked to be in the supine position, with the shoulder in 90 degrees of abduction, elbow in 90 degrees of flexion, and wrist in normal position, and to perform maximal medial and lateral rotation. Auxiliary movements were controlled by the examiner's assistant. The goniometer fulcrum was positioned on the outer epicondyle of the humerus and the stable arm in the vertical axis and the movable arm in line with the ulnar styloid process [32].

To measure shoulder extension ROM, a goniometer fulcrum was placed over the greater trochanter, the stable arm along the axillary line, and the movable arm along the outer longitudinal middle line of the arm, and each participant was asked to perform maximal extension without forward bending of the trunk [33]. All ROM measures were performed three times and the average score of each test was used as the study data.

Statistical analysis

First, the normality of the data distribution was determined using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Then, the data were analyzed using the paired t test. Statistical analysis was

performed using SPSS v. 20 at the significance level was set at 95% or $P < 0.05$.

3. Results

Table 1 presents the demographic information of the participants. The paired t-test results for comparing ROM measures showed no significant differences between dominant and non-dominant arms in flexion, extension, abduction, medial rotation, and lateral rotation ROM of shoulders ($P < 0.05$) (Table 2).

As shown in Table 3, there was a significant difference ($P < 0.05$) in the Y-balance test results between the two

arms ($P < 0.05$) in medial and inferolateral directions. No statistically significant differences were observed in the superolateral direction and the composite score between the two arms ($P < 0.05$).

4. Discussion

This study investigated the symmetry of ROM and balance in the dominant and non-dominant arms of the female wrestlers in the Iranian national team. No significant difference was found between two arms in terms of ROM in shoulder flexion, extension, abduction, medial rotation, and lateral rotation. Also, the balance of two arms was evaluated using the Y-balance test, which

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the participants (Mean±SD)

Variable	Age (y)	Height (cm)	Mass (kg)	BMI (kg/m ²)	Dominant Hand Length (cm)	Non-Dominant Hand Length (cm)
Mean±SD	21.30±2.17	160.46±3.92	62.61±9.01	22.83±2.77	82.57±3.12	82.65±3.54

JMR

Table 2. Comparison of the ROM of dominant and non-dominant arms

Variables	Mean±SD		t	P
	Dominant Arm	Non-dominant Arm		
Flexion ROM (degree)	169.96±3.88	171.88±5.78	-1.49	0.162
Extension ROM (degree)	49.38±14.01	46.30±11.72	1.172	0.264
Abduction ROM (degree)	174.30±11	176.76±9.53	-1.42	0.077
Internal rotation ROM (degree)	61.73±12.37	61.65±10.57	0.036	0.972
External ROM (degree)	69.11±9.30	76.26±10.47	0.071	0.945
External to internal rotation ROM ratio (degree)	14.65±11.56	14.61±10.77	0.015	0.989

JMR

Table 3. Comparison of the balance of dominant and non-dominant arms

Directions (cm)	Mean±SD		t	P
	Dominant Arm	Non-dominant Arm		
Medial	115.30±16.75	108.53±16.82	2.54	0.026
Inferolateral	90.92±18.98	78.23±10.63	2.21	0.047
Superolateral	70.76±22.93	79.69±21.51	-0.374	0.715
Composite score	11.69±21.54	104.23±16.27	1.97	0.071

JMR

showed a significant difference in medial and inferolateral directions, but no significant difference in composite balance score and superolateral direction.

Numerous studies have been conducted comparing the symmetry of the shoulder ROM in athletes. By examining the ROM between dominant and non-dominant arms of athletes and non-athletes, Daneshmandi et al. found a significant difference in shoulder medial and lateral rotation ROM in dominant and non-dominant arms in handball and volleyball players. However, no significant difference was observed in terms of shoulder flexion, extension, and abduction ROM [34]. Although the findings of many studies [35-38] showed a significant difference in the ROM of dominant and non-dominant arms, the results of this study found no significant difference in the ROM of dominant and non-dominant arms.

Considering the studies conducted in this regard, the results of this study are not consistent with the findings of other studies, which may be due to differences in the sports fields studied such as the study of Daneshmandi et al. in volleyball and handball [33, 34], as well as differences in the age range and sex of the study populations [38]. The symmetry of the ROM in this study may be due to the wrestler needs to use both arms in exerting more power, and therefore the ROM test must be applied in both arms.

The Y-balance test is one of the most common tests to evaluate unilateral balance in a closed kinetic chain movement that allows researchers to compare between the two limbs. Several studies have examined the upper extremities balance by using the Y-balance test [24, 26, 29, 39]. Some of these studies have reported no significant difference in dominant and non-dominant arms [26, 28]. Similarly, German et al. did not observe a significant difference between the dominant and non-dominant arms of male and female athletes [26]. In another study, no significant difference was observed between wrestlers and baseball players concerning the performance of dominant and non-dominant arms [39]. In swimmers, there was no significant difference between the limbs in performance on the upper quarter Y-balance test [29]. In a study conducted on university baseball and baseball athletes, there were no significant differences in performance on the YBT-UQ between throwing and non-throwing limbs as well as between throwing and non-throwing arms [24].

The results of the present study are not consistent with the findings of other studies. The reason for this difference can be attributed to differences in the sports studied, for example, Butler et al. [29] studied swimming and

also their another study was conducted on softball and baseball athletes [24] as upper extremity performance is different in these fields. Besides, this difference can be attributed to age differences [39]. YBT-UQ function is also related to a combination of spinal joint mobility, motor control, and proprioception [39].

Since poor balance is associated with an increased risk of injury in many sports [40, 41]; therefore, it seems that balance exercises may effectively reduce the risk of injury [42]. In the same way, a previous study showed that balance exercises alone may reduce injury occurrence in athletes [43]. However, it might not be as effective as when it combines with other exercises [42]. The effect of balance exercises on upper extremities balance has not been investigated so far, but one study showed that using warm-up exercises before the main wrestler training significantly improved dynamic balance and possibly reduces the incidence of injury resulting from a weak balance [44].

However, previous studies have shown that asymmetry is one of the risk factors for limb injury [45]. It should be noted that training with asymmetries in the body may have negative effects on the athletes and subsequently may impose them to further injuries. For example, numerous studies have observed the positive effects of symmetrical exercises on improving techniques and motor coordination [22]. Also, bilateral practice due to transfer of learning can prevent an increment of lateral asymmetries and thus compensate for performance differences between the two body sides [46]. Moreover, training of the non-dominant side can also improve the performance of the dominant side, practice with the non-dominant side is useful during an injury-break, a blockade due to technical difficulties, or avoidance of overtraining [47].

The main limitation of this study was the low sample size. This study was conducted on adults and, it is therefore recommended that further studies be carried out on both adolescents and young adults. Since this study is based on classic wrestling, it is advisable to include other types of wrestling like Greco-Roman wrestling. The lack of a control group was another limitation of this study.

5. Conclusion

Based on the results of this study, the balance in the dominant arm is better than that in non-dominant arm in the athletes so the non-dominant arm may be at more risk for injury. Therefore, we recommend that the coaches and trainers pay particular attention to these findings in designing the injury prevention programs.

Ethical Considerations

Compliance with ethical guidelines

All ethical principles were considered in this article. The participants were informed about the purpose of the research and its implementation stages; they were also assured about the confidentiality of their information. Moreover, they were allowed to leave the study whenever they wish, and if desired, the results of the research would be available to them.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or non-profit sectors.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed in preparing this article

Conflict of interest

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

We highly appreciate the technical staff and members of the Classic Women's Wrestling National Team who contributed to this study.

References

- [1] Yoon J. Physiological profiles of elite senior wrestlers. *Sports Medicine*. 2002; 32(4):225-33. [DOI:10.2165/00007256-200232040-00002] [PMID]
- [2] Mirzaei B, Curby DG, Rahmani-Nia F, Moghadasi M. Physiological profile of elite Iranian junior freestyle wrestlers. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*. 2009; 23(8):2339-44. [DOI:10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181bb7350] [PMID]
- [3] Baić M, Sertić H, Starosta W. Differences in physical fitness levels between the classical and the free style wrestlers. *Kinesiology*. 2007; 39(2):142-9. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228505588>
- [4] Clarsen B, Rønsen O, Myklebust G, Flørenes TW, Bahr R. The Oslo Sports Trauma Research Center questionnaire on health problems: A new approach to prospective monitoring of illness and injury in elite athletes. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2014; 48(9):754-60. [DOI:10.1136/bjsports-2012-092087] [PMID]
- [5] Thurman DJ. The epidemiology of traumatic brain injury in children and youths: A review of research since 1990. *Journal of Child Neurology*. 2016; 31(1):20-7. [DOI:10.1177/0883073814544363] [PMID]
- [6] Otero JE, Graves CM, Bollier MJ. Injuries in collegiate wrestlers at an elite division I NCAA wrestling program: An epidemiological study. *The Iowa Orthopaedic Journal*. 2017; 37:65-70. [PMID] [PMCID]
- [7] Hosseini-mehr H, Norasteh AA, Daneshmandi H, Rahpeymay-Rad K, Rahimi R. [The effect of vibration on leg muscles proprioception in static and dynamic postural control (English-Italian)]. *Medicina dello Sport*. 2009; 62(4):447-54. <https://www.minervamedica.it/en/journals/medicina-dello-sport/article.php?cod=R26Y2009N04A0447>
- [8] Gheitasi M, Alizadeh MH, Rajabi R. [Is Q-angle a predictor of knee ligament and meniscus injury in elite wrestlers? (Persian)]. *Harakat*. 2009; 39:5-20. https://joh.ut.ac.ir/article_27764_en.html
- [9] Annett M. Handedness and cerebral dominance: The right shift theory. *The Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*. 1998; 10(4):459-69. [DOI:10.1176/jnp.10.4.459] [PMID]
- [10] Bagesteiro LB, Sainburg RL. Handedness: Dominant arm advantages in control of limb dynamics. *Journal of Neurophysiology*. 2002; 88(5):2408-21. [DOI:10.1152/jn.00901.2001] [PMID]
- [11] Iwai K, Nakazato K, Irie K, Fujimoto H, Nakajima H. Low back pain and lumbar disc degeneration are related to weight category in collegiate wrestlers. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*. 2006; 38(5):S51. https://journals.lww.com/acsm-msse/Fulltext/2006/05001/Low_Back_Pain_and_Lumbar_Disc_Degeneration_Are.1105.aspx
- [12] Grygorowicz M, Kubacki J, Pilis W, Gieremek K, Rzepka R. Selected isokinetic tests in knee injury prevention. *Biology of Sport*. 2010; 27(1):47-51. [DOI:10.5604/20831862.907793]
- [13] Clarsen B, Bahr R, Andersson SH, Munk R, Myklebust G. Reduced glenohumeral rotation, external rotation weakness and scapular dyskinesis are risk factors for shoulder injuries among elite male handball players: A prospective cohort study. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2014; 48(17):1327-33. [DOI:10.1136/bjsports-2014-093702] [PMID]
- [14] Winter DA, Patla AE, Frank JS. Assessment of balance control in humans. *Medical Progress Through Technology*. 1990; 16(1-2):31-51. [PMID]
- [15] Punakallio A. Balance abilities of different-aged workers in physically demanding jobs. *Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation*. 2003; 13(1):33-43. [DOI:10.1023/A:1021845823521] [PMID]
- [16] Bressel E, Yonker JC, Kras J, Heath EM. Comparison of static and dynamic balance in female collegiate soccer, basketball, and gymnastics athletes. *Journal of Athletic Training*. 2007; 42(1):42-6. [PMID] [PMCID]
- [17] Guskiewicz KM, Perrin DH, Gansneder BM. Effect of mild head injury on postural stability in athletes. *Journal of Athletic Training*. 1996; 31(4):300-6. [PMID] [PMCID]
- [18] Utter AC, Lambeth PG. Evaluation of multi-frequency BIA in assessing body composition of wrestlers. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*. 2010; 42(5):129. [DOI:10.1249/01.MSS.0000386303.49538.f6]
- [19] Cross KM, Worrell TW. Effects of a static stretching program on the incidence of lower extremity musculotendinous strains. *Journal of Athletic Training*. 1999; 34(1):11-4. [PMID] [PMCID]
- [20] Triffitt PD. The relationship between motion of the shoulder and the stated ability to perform activities of daily liv-

- ing. *The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery*. 1998; 80(1):41-6. [DOI:10.2106/00004623-199801000-00008] [PMID]
- [21] Agel J, Ransone J, Dick R, Oppliger R, Marshall SW. Descriptive epidemiology of collegiate men's wrestling injuries: National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance System, 1988-1989 through 2003-2004. *Journal of Athletic Training*. 2007; 42(2):303-10. [PMID] [PMCID]
- [22] Rynkiewicz M, Rynkiewicz T, Żurek P, Ziemann E, Szymanik R. Asymmetry of muscle mass distribution in tennis players. *Trends in Sport Sciences*. 2013; 1(20):47-53. http://www.wbc.poznan.pl/Content/261625/PDF/8_Trends_2013_1_47.pdf
- [23] Markou S, Vagenas G. Multivariate isokinetic asymmetry of the knee and shoulder in elite volleyball players. *European Journal of Sport Science*. 2006; 6(1):71-80. [DOI:10.1080/17461390500533147]
- [24] Butler RJ, Myers HS, Black D, Kiesel KB, Plisky PJ, Moorman CT, et al. Bilateral differences in the upper quarter function of high school aged baseball and softball players. *International Journal of Sports Physical Therapy*. 2014; 9(4):518-24. [PMID] [PMCID]
- [25] Hosseinimehr SH, Anbarian M, Norasteh AA, Fardmal J, Khosravi MT. The comparison of scapular upward rotation and scapulohumeral rhythm between dominant and non-dominant shoulder in male overhead athletes and non-athletes. *Manual Therapy*. 2015; 20(6):758-62. [DOI:10.1016/j.math.2015.02.010] [PMID]
- [26] Gorman PP, Butler RJ, Plisky PJ, Kiesel KB. Upper Quarter Y-Balance Test: Reliability and performance comparison between genders in active adults. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*. 2012; 26(11):3043-8. [DOI:10.1519/JSC.0b013e3182472fdb] [PMID]
- [27] Pires ED, Camargo PR. Analysis of the kinetic chain in asymptomatic individuals with and without scapular dyskinesis. *Clinical Biomechanics (Bristol, Avon)*. 2018; 54:8-15. [DOI:10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2018.02.017] [PMID]
- [28] Westrick RB, Miller JM, Carow SD, Parry Gerber J. Exploration of the Y-Balance Test for assessment of upper quarter closed kinetic chain performance. *International Journal of Sports Physical Therapy*. 2012; 7(2):139-47. [PMID] [PMCID]
- [29] Butler R, Arms J, Reiman M, Plisky P, Kiesel K, Taylor D, et al. Sex differences in dynamic closed kinetic chain upper quarter function in collegiate swimmers. *Journal of Athletic Training*. 2014; 49(4):442-6. [DOI:10.4085/1062-6050-49.3.17] [PMID] [PMCID]
- [30] Plisky PJ, Rauh MJ, Kaminski TW, Underwood FB. Star Excursion Balance Test as a predictor of lower extremity injury in high school basketball players. *The Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy*. 2006; 36(12):911-9. [DOI:10.2519/jospt.2006.2244] [PMID]
- [31] Kolber MJ, Hanney WJ. The reliability and concurrent validity of shoulder mobility measurements using a digital inclinometer and goniometer: A technical report. *International Journal of Sports Physical Therapy*. 2012; 7(3):306-13. [PMID] [PMCID]
- [32] Cools AM, De Wilde L, Van Tongel A, Ceysens C, Ryckewaert R, Cambier DC. Measuring shoulder external and internal rotation strength and range of motion: Comprehensive intra-rater and inter-rater reliability study of several testing protocols. *Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery*. 2014; 23(10):1454-61. [DOI:10.1016/j.jse.2014.01.006] [PMID]
- [33] Ghorbani M, Sokhangouei Y, Sadeghi H. [Effect of Pilates exercise on range of motion and edema of upper limb in mastectomy side (Persian)]. *Journal of Ardabil University of Medical Sciences*. 2013; 13(3):297-304. <http://jarums.arums.ac.ir/article-1-106-en.html>
- [34] Daneshmandi H, Rahmaninia F, Shahrokhi H, Rahmani P, Esmaeili S. Shoulder joint flexibility in top athletes. *Journal of Biomedical Science and Engineering*. 2010; 3(8):811-5. [DOI:10.4236/jbise.2010.38108]
- [35] Kronberg M, Broström LÅ, Söderlund V. Retroversion of the humeral head in the normal shoulder and its relationship to the normal range of motion. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*. 1990; 253:113-7. [DOI:10.1097/00003086-199004000-00015]
- [36] Clarke GR, Willis LA, Fish WW, Nichols PJR. Preliminary studies in measuring range of motion in normal and painful stiff shoulders. *Rheumatology*. 1975; 14(1):39-46. [DOI:10.1093/rheumatology/14.1.39] [PMID]
- [37] Murray MP, Gore DR, Gardner GM, Mollinger LA. Shoulder motion and muscle strength of normal men and women in two age groups. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*. 1985; 192:268-73. [DOI:10.1097/00003086-198501000-00037]
- [38] Harput G, Guney H, Toprak U, Kaya T, Colakoglu FF, Baltaci G. Shoulder-rotator strength, range of motion, and acromiohumeral distance in asymptomatic adolescent volleyball attackers. *Journal of Athletic Training*. 2016; 51(9):733-8. [DOI:10.4085/1062-6050-51.12.04] [PMID] [PMCID]
- [39] Myers H, Poletti M, Butler RJ. Difference in functional performance on the Upper-Quarter Y-Balance Test between high school baseball players and wrestlers. *Journal of Sport Rehabilitation*. 2017; 26(3):253-9 [DOI:10.1123/jsr.2015-0168] [PMID]
- [40] Hrysomallis C, McLaughlin P, Goodman C. Balance and injury in elite Australian footballers. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2007; 28(10):844-7. [DOI:10.1055/s-2007-964897] [PMID]
- [41] McGuine TA, Greene JJ, Best T, Levenson G. Balance as a predictor of ankle injuries in high school basketball players. *Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine*. 2000; 10(4):239-44. [DOI:10.1097/00042752-200010000-00003] [PMID]
- [42] Hrysomallis C. Relationship between balance ability, training and sports injury risk. *Sports Medicine*. 2007; 37(6):547-56. [DOI:10.2165/00007256-200737060-00007] [PMID]
- [43] Caraffa A, Cerulli G, Progetti M, Aisa G, Rizzo A. Prevention of anterior cruciate ligament injuries in soccer. A prospective controlled study of proprioceptive training. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*. 1996; 4(1):19-21. [DOI:10.1007/BF01565992] [PMID]
- [44] Bayati R, Shamsi Majelan A, Mirzaei B, Barbas I. The effect of 12 weeks of wrestling+warm-up program on functional movement screen scores in cadet wrestlers. *Annals of Applied Sport Science*. 2019; 7(1):39-47. [DOI:10.29252/aassjournal.7.1.39]
- [45] Rahnema N, Lees A, Bambaecchi E. Comparison of muscle strength and flexibility between the preferred and non-preferred leg in English soccer players. *Ergonomics*. 2005; 48(11-14):1568-75. [DOI:10.1080/00140130500101585] [PMID]

- [46] Teixeira LA, Silva MV, Carvalho M. Reduction of lateral asymmetries in dribbling: The role of bilateral practice. *Laterality*. 2003; 8(1):53-65. [DOI:10.1080/713754469] [PMID]
- [47] Focke A, Spancken S, Stockinger C, Thürer B, Stein T. Bilateral practice improves dominant leg performance in long jump. *European Journal of Sport Science*. 2016; 16(7):787-93. [DOI:10.1080/17461391.2016.1141996] [PMID]