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Introduction: The prevalence of Anterior Knee Pain (ANP) has been reported between 15% and 45% in Europe. Muscle weakness is a predisposing factor for ANP. The purpose of this study was to investigate and compare the effect of McKenzie and common exercises on quadriceps and hamstring muscles strengthening and consequently relieving anterior knee pain.

Materials and Methods: A total of 22 patients with ANP (Mean [SD] age: 29.191 [1.658] y) were randomly assigned into control and experiment groups. Each group consisted of 11 subjects. The treatment program included hot pack and acupuncture like TENS modalities for 10 sessions. From the third session, the exercise was added to each group’s treatment program separately. The control group exercises consisted of strengthening exercises of the knee, thigh and trunk muscles. In the experiment group, the subjects were initially classified according to mechanical diagnostic tests of McKenzie. This classification is based on patient’s response to repetitive movements or constant positions at their end range of motion. The exercise was prescribed according to directional preference which was specific for each case. The strength of quadriceps and hamstring muscles were assessed in three stages with hand held dynamometer. The repeated measure ANOVA test was used to compare variables between two groups. All statistical analyses were performed in SPSS V. 21.

Results: The strength of quadriceps muscle in both groups showed a significant improvement (P<0.001) after the third evaluation. There was no significant difference between the two groups in this regard. There was no significant difference between two groups in hamstring strength among all stages.

Conclusion: In patients with ANP, McKenzie exercises like common exercises can improve the strength of quadriceps muscle.
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Results: The strength of quadriceps muscle in both groups showed a significant improvement (P<0.001) after the third evaluation. There was no significant difference between the two groups in this regard. There was no significant difference between two groups in hamstring strength among all stages.
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1. Introduction

Patients may experience Anterior knee Pain (ANP) with or without trauma [1]. Moreover, there is no definitive gold standard method to clinically diagnose ANP [2]. The diagnosis is based on patient’s self-report symptoms of diffuse anterior knee pain on activities that load the joint such as squatting, running, climbing and descending stairs [3]. Over the past 20 years, the prevalence of knee pain has increased by 65% in the United States [4]. Approximately 21%-45% of the physically active adolescents [5] aged between 15 and 30 years and 15%-33% of the adults with a sedentary lifestyle suffer from ANP, with higher incidence and prevalence rates often cited among women [6, 7].

A widely accepted theory about the etiology of ANP is excessive stress on the patellofemoral joint [8, 9]. In this regard, Sakai et al. reported that lateral displacement of the patella is observed when vastus medialis is weak. According to them, this finding can be seen in only 0-15 degrees of knee flexion. However, the level of lateralization does not depend on the level of weakness in the vastus medialis muscle [10]. Werner reported that this pain is caused by disturbances in the knee extensor mechanism and quadriceps muscle weakness, especially in the eccentric contraction, in the majority of patients [11].

These findings provided a ground for the treatment of ANP by strengthening of the vastus medialis. Garrick et al. believed that strengthening of the vastus medialis is an important goal in the treatment of anterior knee pain [12]. The vastus medialis and the vastus medialis oblique can be activated differentially and their motor unit recruitment properties are affected by sex and hip position [13]. The appropriate treatment for this syndrome is still unknown, but the most commonly accepted therapeutic intervention is exercise therapy [12, 14-17]. Good clinical results have been achieved with different methods of quadriceps muscle strengthening [12, 18, 19] common exercise therapy for anterior knee pain is knee and hip combination [3]. Repetitive passive movement can be recommended to clinicians for rehabilitation as it provides greater proprioception benefits [20, 21]. On the other hand, passive physiological movements produce an immediate analgesic effect on deep tissue pain [22].

Exercise therapy, or physical therapy based on exercise [23], is another name for McKenzie methods. In some texts, it is referred to as mechanical diagnosis and therapy. The most important factor in the McKenzie method is postural correction, and the key to treatment in this method is to find the directional preference [24]. In the classification by McKenzie method, the patients are grouped in one of the McKenzie syndromes, including derangement syndrome, articular dysfunction syndrome, contractile dysfunction syndrome, and postural syndrome. Some patients may not be placed in any of the above syndromes and are in the ‘other’ group [25-27], which is the same as contraindications to McKenzie exercises.

There is limited research on the use of McKenzie techniques on the knee. Rosedale conducted the first study of mechanical assessment and treatment using the McKenzie method on the knee joint [28]. However, muscle strength was not assessed in this study. In regard to our review articles there is a study about effects of McKenzie method on muscle strengthening in anterior knee pain subjects, therefore this study aimed to compare the effects of McKenzie and common methods on muscle strengthening in patients with ANP.

2. Materials and Methods

This study was a double-blind controlled clinical trial. The study population comprised patients with ANP. A total of 22 subjects with a Mean±SD age of 29.91±1.658 years, who met the inclusion and exclusion criteria, were randomly assigned to two groups after signing the consent form. The main inclusion criteria were being 16–40 years old; feeling pain in front of the knee for at least two months; having at least 3 pain score using Visual Analog Scale (VAS); having positive response to at least 2 items of the Kajala questionnaire; confirming by specialist; lacking actual knee locking or patellar dislocation; having no history of arthritis, radiographic abnormalities of the knee joint, ligament injury, active infections, previous physiotherapy; avoiding the use of analgesics within 48 hours before the test; and having no anatomical disorders in the chest and upper and lower extremities.

The only exclusion criterion was patient’s reluctance to continue the study. A total of 22 individuals were randomly recruited in the study. Odd numbers assigned for control and even numbers for experiment group. The participants were asked to stop taking analgesics during the study. They were assured that their information would be confidential and anonymous. The treatment protocol consisted of ten sessions on a daily basis (even on holidays). Patients in both groups received therapeutic modalities during the first two treatment sessions, including acupuncture like TENS and hot pack. From session 3, in addition to the above modalities, exercise therapy was added to the program. For each group, a
training program was designed and explained to the participants, which included the time and number of exercises at home.

The total number of patients who participated in this study was 27, of whom 5 were excluded due to failure to complete treatment sessions because of personal excuses or lack of access during follow-up. It should be noted that the intervention did not exacerbate the symptoms of the patients. The two groups were matched with respect to gender distribution.

**Control group exercises**

First, 5 minutes warm-up was done by walking [29]. Strengthening exercises for the hip (abductors and external rotators) and knees (flexors and extensors) muscles with 50% of the one-repetition maximum and 20% increase on a daily basis (against gravity and without weights) [30], as well as strengthening exercises of the trunk with Swiss ball were carried out two times a day. At the end of the session, cooling down was done, including stretching exercises of the hamstring, quadriceps and gastrocnemius muscles. Each exercise was repeated three times and maintained for 5 seconds [29].

**Experiment group exercises**

Each patient in this group was evaluated separately using the Mackenzie method and the data were recorded in the McKenzie assessment questionnaire. Based on how to respond to active, passive, passive with overpressure, and resistive movements, the type of McKenzie syndromes were determined. In the cases of positive and immediate response (in terms of pain, function or range of motion), the direction or condition of movement was considered the preferred direction. The repetitive flexion or extension of knee movements (for those with derangement syndrome) or static positions at the end of the range of motion (for that dysfunctional syndrome) were performed in directional preference every 2 hours, 10 times in each set. Movements in the opposite direction of preference were banned in this group.

The first step in this group was correction of body position [31], which included two phases: attain and maintain. The attain phase was done using the McKenzie method via “Slouch Overcorrect Relaxed” [23]. The maintain phase included scapular stabilizing exercises and the use of lumbar and cervical McKenzie rolls and stretching of the pectoral muscles. The suggested exercises were as follows:

**Doorway Stretch**

This exercise is appropriate to obtain the required flexibility for posture. The patient stands inside the door frame. The elbow is bent 90 degrees along the shoulder. The forearm is placed on the edge of the door frame. The rotation of the trunk is done so that the patient feels the right stretch in the front of the chest and shoulder. This position is held for seven seconds. These exercises were repeated twice per day and 10 times in each set.

**Thoracic extension on Foam Roller**

This exercise is done to obtain mobility of the thoracic spine and prevent the slouched position. A foam roller is put under the upper back in supine position and the feet and buttocks are placed on the ground. The hands are positioned behind the head and the elbows are brought together as close as possible. The head is allowed to hit the ground. The person tries to turn himself onto the foam roller and move it up and down the upper part of the back. When hitting the painful points, he lifts his head from the ground and pushes his back to the foam roller and then goes back. This procedure was performed twice a day for 5 minutes.

**Prone Y Extension:**

This exercise is to strengthen the lower trapezius muscle and external rotators of the shoulder, as well as to stretch abdominal and chest muscles that are always in the flexion position. Lying in the prone position on the ground with legs as wide apart as the shoulder, the patient puts his arms in a Y shape above his head facing the ground and lifts his torso as an extension and moves the arms outward. This position is maintained for 5 seconds. This exercise was repeated twice per day and 10 times in each set. In addition, throughout the study, the participants in the McKenzie group used the McKenzie lumbar roll or a similar object when sitting and the McKenzie cervical roll while sleeping.

**Study tools**

In order to measure the quadriceps and hamstring maximum isometric force (power), a Hand-Held Dynamometer (HHD) [32] was used (Figure 1). The validity and reliability of HHD in lower limb muscles have been reported fair to high in several studies [33-36].
Measurement of quadriceps strength

Patient status: Sitting on the edge of the bed, knees sticking to the edge of the bed, legs hanging from the edge of the bed.

Stabilization: The patient holds the edge of the bed with his hands and his thigh is fixed to the bed with a sling.

In 90º of knee flexion, the dynamometer is placed at the boundary between the third and fourth quarters of the leg, above the ankle. The patient extends the knee with maximum force and the examiner tries to prevent it. The amount of force applied by the patient is measured by the dynamometer [37] (Figure 2). This measurement was repeated three times and the average reading was recorded as the strength of the quadriceps muscle.

Measurement of hamstring strength

Patient status: Lying prone with knee flexion. Stabilization: The hip and thigh (middle third) of the patient are fixed to the bed with a sling. In 90°, 45° and 30° of knee flexion [38], the dynamometer is placed at the boundary between the third and fourth quarters of the leg, above the ankle. The patient flexes the knee with maximum force while the examiner tries to hold it. This measurement was repeated three times and the average of these three readings was recorded as the hamstring muscle strength [38] (Figure 3).

Data analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by SPSS V. 21. The normal distribution of the data was examined using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The variables of quadriceps and hamstring muscle strength had a normal distribution. To compare the two groups in the three stages, repeated measures ANOVA was employed. The ANOVA and the Tukey post hoc test were used for intra-group comparisons.

3. Results

According to Fisher test, there was no difference between two groups with regard to the distribution of sex, age, weight, height, side of affected knee, and duration of knee pain. There was no significant difference in quadriceps muscle strength between two groups before the intervention (P=0.8). Repeated measures ANOVA showed no significant difference between the two groups (P=0.7). The ANOVA test revealed a significant improvement in the quadriceps muscle strength after the intervention, in both groups (P<0.001) (Table 1). Tukey post hoc test (Table 2) showed no significant difference in quadriceps muscle strength between the first and second evaluation in both groups (P=0.7 and P=0.2), but there was a significant difference between the first and the third evaluation and between the second and the third evaluation in both groups (P<0.001).

According to Table 3, 1-way ANOVA showed no significant improvement in hamstring muscle strength in the
two groups after the intervention (P=0.09 and P=0.5). Tukey post hoc test also showed no significant difference in the hamstring strength between the first and the second, the first and the third, and the second and the third evaluation in each group.

4. Discussion

The results showed that in patients with ANP, McKenzie exercises similar to common exercises can strengthen the quadriceps muscle. But there was no significant difference in hamstring strength in two groups. One of the reasons for the significant change in quadriceps strength can be attributed to the different effects of quadriceps and hamstring muscles on ANP [39]. Because in these patients, the contraction of the quadriceps muscle faster and more is inhibited. The inhibition of muscle causes more atrophy and weakness in this muscle.

On the other hand, because the quadriceps muscle contraction leads to increase in the compression between patella and femoral groove, therefore it can aggravate ANP and consequently a vicious cycle including pain, inhibition of muscle contraction, facilitation of the quadriceps muscle atrophy, and finally its weakness. During physiotherapy, we tried to relief pain and maintain the knee in the correct and pain-less position and simultaneously doing strengthening exercise to break the vicious cycle. Therefore quadriceps muscle strength improved significantly. But the foregoing items do not apply to

### Table 1. Results of ANOVA test for quadriceps muscle strength between two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>Degree of Freedom</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Between groups</td>
<td>70.26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within group</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td>Between groups</td>
<td>67.64</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within group</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Results of Tukey test for quadriceps muscle strength among 3 steps evaluation in two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quadriceps Muscle Strength</th>
<th>Mean±SD of The Control Group</th>
<th>Mean±SD of The Experiment Group</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evaluation 1-2</td>
<td>12.08±2.3</td>
<td>14.9±3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>Evaluation 2-3</td>
<td>14.9±3.4</td>
<td>16.2±2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evaluation 1-3</td>
<td>12.08±2.3</td>
<td>16.2±2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment group</td>
<td>Evaluation 1-2</td>
<td>11.9±1.6</td>
<td>15.3±5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evaluation 2-3</td>
<td>15.3±5.2</td>
<td>15.4±4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evaluation 1-3</td>
<td>11.9±1.6</td>
<td>15.4±4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Repeated measures ANOVA showed that the two groups did not have a significant difference (P=0.9).

### Table 3. Results of ANOVA test for hamstring muscle strength between two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>Degree of Freedom</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Between groups</td>
<td>19.26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within group</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td>Between groups</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Within group</td>
<td>8.38</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
hamstrings in patients with ANP. Lankhorst et al. reported that the weakness of the quadriceps is a predisposing factor for ANP [40].

The hamstring muscle is not so responsible for ANP. The results of Lankhorst study are similar to our study. The researcher could not find a study with the findings contrary to this study. In addition, the number of exercises available to strengthen the quadriceps muscle in ANP is several times that of hamstring muscle exercises. This can also be another reason for the difference between quadriceps and hamstring strengthening in this study. Furthermore, the postural exercises prescribed in this study, emphasize the increase in antigravity muscle strength; the quadriceps muscle is an antigravity muscle [41].

Although the early increase in maximal motor unit discharge rate with repeated maximal force exercise may comprise an important neural mechanism mediating early, rapid gains in muscular force capability [42]. Regarding the results of this study, McKenzie method and common exercises method have similar effect on the strength of the quadriceps and hamstring muscles and consequently ANP.
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